UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,590	04/28/2006	William Suttle Peters	13634.4008	3167
	7590 09/03/200 RINGTON & SUTCL	EXAMINER		
IP PROSECUT	ION DEPARTMENT	DINGA, ROLAND		
4 PARK PLAZ. SUITE 1600	A	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA 92	2614-2558	3766		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		09/03/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
		10/595,5	90	PETERS, WILLIAM SUTTLE		
		Examine	r	Art Unit		
		ROLAND	DINGA	3766		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with th	e correspondence ad	ddress	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply is specified above, the maximum st e to reply within the set or extended period for reply seply received by the Office later than three months a d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nunication. atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATI vent, however, may a reply be vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr plication to become ABANDO	ON. It imely filed om the mailing date of this one in the mailing date of this one in the interval of the in	•	
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi	2b)∏ This action is for allowance excep	t for formal matters, _l		e merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□	Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrice on Papers The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	re withdrawn from continuous crition and/or election election	requirement.	e Examiner.		
 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/27/2008,05/29/2008</u> .	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/595,590 Page 2

Art Unit: 3766

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Buaayu et al (JP 10-328297).

With respect to claim 1, see previous rejection is maintained. With regards to the additional limitation, Buaayu discloses that the balloon 10 compresses the ascending aorta 50 from outside "unresected portion" [see abstract and figure].

3. Claims 1-6, 11-12, 15-17,23-24,27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by William Suttle Peters et al (WO02/24255 A1). Herein Peters.

The previous rejections are maintained and with regard to the additional limitation that "the inflatable balloon (compression means) to an unresected portion of the exterior of an arterial vessel (12)" [see fig.1 and 3].

Regarding claim 24, the compression means ("balloon ") does not extend around the complete circumference of the exterior of the arterial vessel

Application/Control Number: 10/595,590 Page 3

Art Unit: 3766

(12)[see fig.3].

4. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by William

Suttle Peters et al (WO00/76288 A2).

an arterial vessel].

With respect to claim 22, see previous rejection is maintained. With regards to the additional limitation, Peters discloses that the compression means of the present invention preferably includes a preshaped balloon cuff around a portion of the aorta [page 6, lines 30-31; page 8, lines 30-33][see figures 11,12,13][that reads on "heart assistive device to an unresected portion of the exterior wall of

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 7-10,13-14,18-21,24-26,28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as

being unpatentable over William Suttle Peters et al (WO02/24255 A1) as applied to

claim1,16,23,27 above.

Regarding claim 7, discloses substantially the invention as claimed but failed to

discloses suturing the vessel with non-absorbable sutures. However, it is well

known in the art (e.g. US 5197980) to suture blood vessel with non-absorbable sutures. Thus, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skills in the art by the time the invention was made use modify the method step of Peters to include suturing vessel with non-absorbable sutures since it is well known to be used to suture vessel.

Regarding claims 8, 9, 10, 25, 28 see previous rejection.

Regarding claim 13, see previous rejection.

Regarding claim 14 and 26, see previous rejection.

Regarding claim 18 and 29, see previous rejection.

Regarding claim 30,this limitation follow obvious from the normal working of Peters device.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROLAND DINGA whose telephone number is (571)270-3644. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30am to 5:00pm EST..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl H. Layno can be reached on 571 272 4949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/RD/ PATENT EXAMINER 08/28/2008

/Mark Bockelman/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3766